

of any Judiciary Committee action or Senate consideration of the nomination indicated in Journal of the Executive Proceedings of the Senate volume for that (the 53rd) Congress. Hornblower was re-nominated by President Cleveland on December 6, 1893. After his second nomination was reported adversely by the Judiciary Committee on January 8, 1894, Hornblower was rejected by the Senate on January 15, 1894 by a 24-30 vote.

I trust the above information is responsive to your request. If I may be of further assistance please contact me at 7-7162.

DENIS STEVEN RUTKUS

*Specialist in American  
National Government*

#### CHANGING THE NAME OF THE COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS TO "COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP"

Mr. DASCHLE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 123, submitted earlier today by Senators KERRY and BOND.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 123) amending the Standing Rules of the Senate to change the name of the Committee on Small Business to the "Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship."

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. KERRY. Madam President, I would like to take a few minutes to explain the historic importance of the Resolution I am putting forward with Senator BOND to change the name of the Senate Committee on Small Business to the Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship. This is the first piece of legislation I am putting forward as the new Chairman of the Small Business Committee. I am pleased that it is a bipartisan Resolution, continuing the tradition of the Committee.

I would like to thank Senator BOND for cosponsoring this Resolution, and the Majority Leader and Republican Leader for their cooperation and support in bringing it to the floor of the Senate so quickly.

As many of my colleagues may know, the needs and circumstances of today's entrepreneurial companies differ from those of traditional small businesses. For instance, entrepreneurial companies are much more likely to depend on investment capital rather than loan capital. Additionally, although they represent less than five percent of all businesses, entrepreneurial companies create a substantial number of all new jobs and are responsible for developing a significant portion of technological innovations, both of which have substantial benefits for our economy.

Taken together, an unshakable determination to grow and improved productivity lie at the heart of what distin-

guishes fast growth or entrepreneurial companies from more traditional, albeit successful, small businesses. Early on, it is often impossible to distinguish a small business from an entrepreneurial company. Only when a company starts to grow fast and make fundamental changes in a market do the differences come into play. Policies that support entrepreneurship become critical during this phase of the business cycle. Our public policies can only play a significant role during this critical phase if we understand the needs of entrepreneurial companies and are prepared to respond appropriately.

I believe that adding "Entrepreneurship" to the Committee on Small Business's name will more accurately reflect the Committee's valuable role in helping to foster and promote economic development by including entrepreneurial companies and the spirit of entrepreneurship in the United States.

I urge my colleagues to support this Resolution. Thank you.

Mr. DASCHLE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, that any statements relating thereto be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 123) was agreed to.

(The resolution is located in today's RECORD under "Statements on Submitted Resolutions.")

#### COMPLIMENTING SENATORS

Mr. DASCHLE. Madam President, let me just say this before I make my final comments. Senator KENNEDY is on the floor and I want to acknowledge, as I did just now upstairs and as I did a couple of weeks ago as we completed our work on the education bill, a historic and landmark piece of legislation, how grateful I am, once again, to the senior Senator from Massachusetts, the chairman of the Health, Education, and Labor Committee.

I have said privately and publicly that I believe he is one of the most historic figures our Chamber has ever had the pleasure of witnessing. We saw, again, the leadership and the remarkable ability that he has to legislate over the course of the last couple of weeks. I didn't think that what he had to endure in the education bill could have been any harder. In many respects, I think the last 2 weeks were harder. It was harder reaching a consensus. We had very difficult and contentious issues to confront, amendments to consider. In all of it, he, once again, took his responsibilities as we would expect of him—with fairness, with courtesy, and with a display of empathy for all Members, the likes of which you just do not see on the Senate floor.

So on behalf of all of our caucus, I daresay on behalf of the Senate, I thank Senator KENNEDY, our chairman, for the work he has done.

I also acknowledge and thank our colleague from North Carolina, Senator JOHN EDWARDS. Senator EDWARDS has done a remarkable job. In a very short period of time, he has demonstrated his capabilities for senatorial leadership. He came to the Senate without the experience of public service, but in a very brief period of time he has demonstrated his enormous ability to adjust and adapt to Senate ways. He has become a true leader. I am grateful to him for his extraordinary contribution to this bill.

Let me also thank Senator JOHN MCCAIN. This bill is truly bipartisan in many ways, but it is personified in that bipartisanship with the role played by Senator MCCAIN, not unlike other bills in which he has participated. I will mention especially the campaign finance reform bill.

Senator MCCAIN has been the key in bringing about the bipartisan consensus that we reached again today. On a vote of 59-36, we showed the bipartisanship that can be displayed even as we take on these contentious and difficult issues. That would not have been possible were it not for his effort.

Let me thank, as well, Senator JUDD GREGG and many of our colleagues on the Republican side for their participation. They fought a hard fight; they made a good case; they argued their amendments extremely well; and they were prepared to bring this debate to closure tonight. I am grateful to them for their willingness to do so.

Finally, I thank Senator HARRY REID. He wasn't officially a part of the committee, but Senator REID has made a contribution once again to this bill, as he has on so many other bills, that cannot be replicated. This would not have happened were it not for his remarkable—and I would say incredible—efforts on the Senate floor each and every day. He is a dear friend. He is someone unlike anyone I think we have seen in recent times. He cares deeply for this body and has worked diligently to bring about a successful conclusion to this bill. We thank him.

Having thanked our colleagues, let me also thank our staff—our floor staff, my personal staff, the leadership staff, the staff of the committee. Were it not for them, we simply could not have done our work. I am extraordinarily grateful to them as well.

#### ORDERS FOR MONDAY, JULY 9, 2001

Mr. DASCHLE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until the hour of 12 noon, Monday, July 9. I further ask consent that on Monday, July 9, immediately following the prayer and the pledge, the

Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the morning hour be deemed expired, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and there be a period for morning business until 1 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each, with the following exceptions: Senator DURBIN, or his designee, from 12 to 12:30 p.m.; Senator THOMAS, or his designee, 12:30 p.m. to 1 p.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PROGRAM

Mr. DASCHLE. Madam President, on Monday, July 9, the Senate will convene at 12 noon. We will convene at that time for a period for morning business until 1 p.m. At 1 p.m., the Senate will begin consideration of the supplemental appropriations bill under a previous order which calls for all listed amendments to be offered on Monday prior to 6 p.m. There will be no rollcall votes on Monday, July 9, and there will be no rollcall votes before 2:15 p.m. on Tuesday, July 10.

#### ORDER FOR PRINTING OF S. 1052

Mr. DASCHLE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that S. 1052, as passed by the Senate, be printed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. DASCHLE. Madam President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate stand in adjournment under the provisions of H. Con. Res. 176, following the conclusion of the remarks of Senator Kennedy.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The distinguished senior Senator from Massachusetts.

#### PASSAGE OF THE BIPARTISAN PATIENT PROTECTION ACT

Mr. KENNEDY. Madam President, I want to take a brief moment to thank some very special people who were absolutely instrumental in bringing us to the point of the passage of the legislation which gives so much hope—and should give so much hope—to millions of American families who now are going to be treated by the doctors in whom they have confidence, by the health care staff from whom they are going to get true recommendations, and not have judgments and decisions overridden by their HMOs. We have not finished the job, but this is a giant step forward.

I want to, as others have done—I feel strongly about it—first thank some special Members of this body. We just

heard our leader, Senator DASCHLE. I can remember when Senator DASCHLE was asked after he assumed the leadership role as the majority leader in the Senate, what was going to be his first priority, and he mentioned the Patients' Bill of Rights. For 5 years—for 5 years—we have waited for this moment this evening. For 5 years we have waited, and in the short time he has assumed the leadership of the Senate, in a closely divided Senate, he has been able to develop the broad support evidenced in vote after vote, bipartisan in such important public policy areas.

I thank my good friend, JOHN EDWARDS, whose leadership at critical times during this debate and during very important moments was absolutely indispensable and essential. He was extremely effective in his quiet and soft spoken way, but with a steeliness and a strength that is reflected in his great passion on so many of the issues which are in his soul. He has made an enormous difference in making sure we reached this point tonight.

I thank JOHN MCCAIN. Senator MCCAIN, as he has said many times, traveled this country as a Presidential candidate and saw the importance of this legislation. He came back and wanted to know how he could play a role in making sure it came to fruition. He was willing, as he has on so many issues, to take on tough challenges and stay the course, but he has been an absolutely extraordinary leader on this issue, as on many others. It has been a great pleasure to work with him closely on this matter.

As has been mentioned, JOHN EDWARDS has provided extraordinary leadership on this issue. He was indispensable in so many different aspects of the development of the legislation, likely all of those that deal with accountability. We know the importance of the relationship between accountability and patient protections in this bill. He was always a steady voice, a strong force, a tireless voice for patients and has made an extraordinary mark on this legislation for which we are grateful. This has been a historic team, and I am grateful for them.

I have great appreciation for HARRY REID. I listened the other evening when my good friend, Senator BYRD, mentioned that he had been a deputy leader. He said Senator REID was really one of the best. Having been a deputy leader myself many years ago, it truly can be said he is the best I have seen in all the time I have been in the Senate. He is a tireless worker and always there to find common ground.

He has this incredible ability to say no and make you feel good, which is very difficult but challenging at best for anyone to do, and he does it on a regular basis, repeatedly, and still Members of this body know he is a selfless devotee to this institution and to the issues in which he is involved. He

has made such an extraordinary difference in this legislation as well.

I want to thank some other Senators. I see chairing tonight my good friend, and becoming a better friend, DEBBIE STABENOW. All of us, as we have been working on this legislation, know this has been such a motivating force in her public life experience. She has been an extraordinary resource and supporter for this legislation. No one in this body cares more deeply about this issue than Senator STABENOW. She reminds us all of that wonderful child, Jessica, of whom she has spoken. She continues to be a presence in this Senate on this issue.

I thank a number of our colleagues who were involved, and I will not be able to mention them all, but I think of Senator SNOWE and Senator DEWINE who worked across the aisle to fashion a very important amendment that helped clarify some important provisions that we had not felt needed further clarification, but they pointed out the reasons for it and were constructive in working through it.

I thank my friend, Dr. FRIST, who has been the chairman of our Public Health Subcommittee and with whom I have worked on many different issues. We differed on this issue, but we worked closely on many other issues. I have great respect for him.

I thank JUDD GREGG who has been a worthy adversary as well as an ally on different public policy issues this year. I enjoy working with him.

Some Senators I had not expected to be as involved as they have been and yet were enormously helpful are Senator NELSON, Senator LANDRIEU, Senator LINCOLN, and Senator BAYH. Senator JEFFORDS spent a lot of time on this issue previously and worked with us and knows the issue carefully.

I have listened to him in small meetings, including at the White House with the President, explaining the importance of this legislation enormously effectively as he does. He has been a wonderful help generally. We didn't always agree on some of these issues, but nonetheless I value both his friendship and his views.

Senator BREAUX has been very much involved with health policy issues and was very involved in this.

TOM HARKIN has been a champion on the Patients' Bill of Rights from the beginning. He has been there every time we needed a strong voice. He knows this issue. He speaks passionately about it. He understands the significance and the importance not only in the areas of disability protections and health standards and medical necessity, but he also understands the nuances and the standards which were used and how that impacts broad numbers of our populations. He was absolutely invaluable throughout this process.

I thank particularly the staff members. These issues are complex. It is